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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Al-Mujaini and Montana present a nice, coherent, and interesting case presentation of "Valsalva retinopathy in pregnancy." I have only one recommendation, and that is that the authors change the last sentence of the conclusion where they state that "we can confirm that the risk of recurrence is low." They can only confirm that the risk of recurrence is not 100%.

What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable