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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Comments to authors:

General
This is a well written paper with impressive photographs. The manuscript describes the pathophysiology behind a penile fracture and surgical management as well as the association of an urethral disruption. Though this is an interesting case, at least in the urologic literature, the presentation and management of such injuries well established.

Revisions necessary for publication

What next?: Reject

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published