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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions (which the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

1. The quality of histologic photograph is poor. Please include more photographs, of higher quality. Maybe include low and high power.

2. The gross photograph has a very dirty unappealing background and there is no ruler for measurement (you can’t do much about the ruler). Try to crop or "photoshop" background to make a nicer presentation.

3. This is a surgical paper so I guess you should discuss the surgical technique more. For example lobectomy, pneumonectomy, is it video assisted thoracic surgery, etc.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. My review of literature shows that average age is 43 years old. This patient is much younger, and that may be of interest to readers.

2. Improve the English. Some phrases are clumsy and can be better stated.

Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore)

1. Include a few lines about why you are presenting this case, what made it so interesting/unique for you? Why do you think it is important to present this case?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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