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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript by Jegaden and coll focuses on which method of treatment is less harmful to the patient needing aortic valve surgery in presence of patent CABG. It compares the three available methods (AVR, TF, TA) on high surgical risk patients. This small prospective study has the merit that all the patients have been treated by the same team with the same standards and the same decision making process. Despite this small number of patients the results appear to be in the same directions as suggested already by the analysis of the subgroups in the PARTNER trial. It is interesting to the scientific community, to have access to the results of such small studies in order to share the experience and methods of treatment of different cardiac teams. Indeed the comparison of the new available treatments is of major importance in order to finally offer the best one to the patient.
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