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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. Please note the proportion of on-pump and off pump CABG because this factor might affect the result.

2. Page 8 line 5; Is there any difference of graft patency rate between groups because you mentioned the difficulty of grafting in patients with multiple PCI? This factor might also affect the result.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. Page 4 line 6; Please note the exact year of AHA, ACC guideline.

2. Figure 1-3; Please note the relationship between A, B, C and N, S, M which are written below the graphs.

3. Page 5 line 14; “Clinically variables” would be “Clinical variables”.

Discretionary Revisions

1. Page 5 line 24-Page 6 line 1; You mentioned the statistical significance at the level of P<0.05. Is Age statistical difference between group A and C even P value being 0.06?

2. Page 7 line 17; Is there any opportunity that cardiologists might recommend CABG because of decreased EF? It might be partially elucidated if the ejection fraction before PCI in group C.

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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