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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editors,

we are submitting the revised version of the paper, based on the reviewers' comments. So:

1. we added a sentence about Euroscore both in the abstract as well as in the Methods Section.
2. We added a small paragraph regarding the statistical analysis in the Methods section.
3. We added information in Table 2 regarding the mean time of delay.
4. We changed "two" to "2", as required by one of the reviewers.
5. We erased the word "lack", as asked.
6. We replaced the word "organisatory" with the word "organisation".
7. We added a sentence regarding the scope of the study in the Discussion section.
8. We have moved the sentence "Although organisatory..." to the Discussion section as recommended by one of the reviewers.
9. Unfortunately we could not comment on similar studies as the subject is prototype and no such studies exist.
10. We did not correct the numbers by removing one decimal number as this would cause problems in the total percentage of the tables, however we are willing to do so if the reviewer or the Editor think this is important.

All corrections are marked in red. We are at your disposal for any further
changes.
Kind regards,
GI Tagarakis, MD, PhD