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Reviewer's report:

Major compulsory revision:
1) please specify what endoscopic system was used (i.e. was co2 used?)
2) please specify whether heparin was given prior to vein harvesting to prevent clots.

Discretionary revision
1) it is unclear what the power calculation was for? for a future study or for the present paper? if it is for the present paper, it should link up with the statement about sample size in the discussion to provide continuity of thought.
2) why is there a difference in the NYHA status between the 2 groups post cabg.
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