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Reviewer's report:

MINOR ESSENTIAL REVISIONS

Page 2:
Line 2: “the” instead of “that”, “contralateral” instead of “contrlateral”
Line 7: “retinoic” instead of “Retinoic”
Line 14: “residual” instead of “residue”

Page 3:
Line 6: “to return” instead of “to turn”
Line 7: “quality of life” instead of “life quality”
Line 10: “residual” instead of “residue”
Line 12: “respiratory function tests performed” instead “respiration function tests given”
Line 14: “respiratory” instead of “respiration”
Line 23: “would” instead of “will”
Line 25: “residual” instead of “residue”

Page 4:
Line 1: “that undergone..” instead of “undergone”, “exogenously” instead of “exogenically”
Line 2: “respiratory” instead of “respiration”, “that undergone..” instead of “undergone”
Line 3: “with limited respiratory…” instead of “at limited respiration..”
Line 4: “cases” could be deleted, “and” could be deleted finishing the sentence.
Line 5: “accepted as to be..” instead of “accepted to be..”
Line 6: “respiratory” instead of “respiration”, “as well” could be added to the end of the paragraph
Line 9: “purposes” instead of “purpose”, “are their..” instead of “is”
Line 15: “randomly” instead of “at random”
Line 18: “measured” instead of “taken”, “intubated” instead of “entubed”
Line 20: “Ninety per minute” instead of “90/minute”
Line 21: “Because” instead of “Reason of this”

Page 5:
Line 5: “after ligation of hilus done with 4-0 silk…” instead of “after ligation done with hilus 4-0..”
Line 7: “extubated” instead of “extubed”
Line 14: “has occurred” instead of “was occurred”, “and this rat was excluded” instead of “and excluded”
Line 16: “lethal dose of ketamine” instead of “lethal ketamine”
Line 18: How long did you let the wet lung tissue dry? You should claim this.
Line 21: “expanded” instead of “expansed”
Line 23: “expanded” instead of “expansed”
Line 24: “indices” instead of “indexes”

Page 6:
Line 13: “there are weight increases of 1.9%, 2.0% and 3.4% as the mean values, respectively” instead of “there is weight increase meanly 1.9%, 2.0% and 3.4% respectively”

Page 7:
Line 1: “indices” instead of “indexes”
Line 3: “indices” instead of “indexes”
Line 10: “indices” instead of “indexes”
Line 12: “indices” instead of “indexes”
Line 15: “p>0.05” or “p<0.05”?
Line 20: “indices” instead of “indexes”

Page 8:
Line 15 and 18: “indices” instead of “indexes”

Page 10:
Line 2: “residual” instead of “residue”
Line 3: “such” instead of “such as”
Line 4: “to return” instead of “to turn”
Line 12: “regeneration” instead of “generation”
Line 12: “feel” instead of “fell”

Page 22:

Line 1: “indices” instead of “indexes”

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.