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26 January 2010

Dr Theodore Grivas
Editor
Scoliosis Journal

Dear Dr Grivas,

Re: MS: 1184802530319667

Thank you again for all the helpful comments and suggestions.

For Reviewer #1, I have, as suggested, restated the sentence on page 6: ‘During insertion of pedicle screws and instrumentation, a 50% reduction of the MEP amplitude or 10% prolongation of latency was brought to the surgeon's attention, but active intervention was left to the surgeon's discretion.’ In addition, in Results, the following sentence has been added as advised: ‘There were no MEP changes recorded among the 14 patients that required the surgeon to stop the operation to address the change in MEP.’ I have also corrected spelling and language errors as suggested.

There are no specific suggestions for Reviewers #2 and #3.

A revised version has been uploaded with this letter. Please do not hesitate to contact me for further clarifications.

Yours sincerely,

YL Lo