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Reviewer's report:

General
The paper is now much more better.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The number if references in the text must be changed according to instructions to authors. For example instead of [7][10][11], please write [7, 10, 11].

In page 5, the phrase … The most concentrated effort in this area was the development of a visual analogue scale to quantify patient self assessment of deformity has been developed by Sanders et al [23]. …is grammatically correct?

The name Cronbach is still misspelled in the text.

In the reference: The journal Spine is sometimes written in capitals and some times in lower cases. Must be written as “Spine”.

Reference no 13 must be changed to:

Reference no 38 must be changed to:

(see Medline)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions
**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.