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Thanks again to reviewers.

**Corrections reviewer Theodoros B. Grivas**
1. Figure 7 was changed with Figure 8 to maintain the correct citation order, and captions and citations in the text were changed accordingly.
2. The word “ratio” has been eliminated and the parameter Phase (that is effectively in mm.) has been described as “obtained dividing the area for the diagonal of the minimum rectangle …” throughout the text.
3. “kaphosis” corrected throughout the text.

**Corrections reviewer Manuel Rigo**
1. I do understand your point, but as both reviewers underlined, this paper is intended for specialists of scoliosis, even if in the clinical everyday field, who will be the only one interested in classifying this pathology. Moreover, undoubtedly when compared to the other 3D classifications, this is easy. We discussed this point only after the problems raised for the first version by reviewers, but in any case the term “easy” appears only five times in the paper, and always when explaining the meaning of the acronym 3-DEMO.
2. Thank you for raising this important point. This has been addressed in the method and discussion section.
3. We added your observation in the discussion section about the Shift parameter.