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Did a quality improvement collaborative make stroke care better? A cluster randomised trial

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your copedited version of the above paper. In response, we have:

Page 2:
Added email addresses for all authors. Also, we added a superscript 9 after Don Goldmann, to indicate he has an affiliation with Harvard Medical School.

Page 13:
Spelt MDT out in full as ‘multidisciplinary teams’.

We have accepted all of your suggested changes, except the following:

Page 19:
Reference 8: We suggested rejecting the change to spelling of Organisational. This wording formed a title published in the UK, using UK English. My understanding is that this title be referenced using the original spelling.

Reference 18: We suggest rejecting the change to spelling of randomized. Similar to above, this spelling forms part of title published in the UK, using UK English.

Page 21:
Table 1: Under Rehabilitation (Bundle 2), to be consistent with US English, we suggest ‘mobilisation’ be changed to read ‘mobilization’.

Page 23:
Table 3: Title – We have corrected the three month period from ‘September 2008 to December 2008’ and ‘September 2009 to December 2009’, to read ‘October 2008 to December 2008’ and ‘October 2009 to December 2009’ respectively.

Yours sincerely

Gareth Parry PhD
Senior Scientist