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Dear editor,

Thank you very much for the copy-edited version of my manuscript. In addition to the clean version of my manuscript I hereby send you a cover letter with my comments with regard to the copy-edited version of the manuscript.

I accepted all changes that were made except for the following:
1. Within the reference list, the word ‘health care’ must be ‘health care’ and not ‘healthcare’, because within the reference list the word ‘health care’ is part of the title of a paper or the name of a journal.
2. With regard to the numbers that were used, the editor added zeros in front of each point (see for instance column 4 of Table 3). However, it is a statistical rule to not use zeros when a number cannot be bigger than 1. This is the case for all the numbers in which we did not write a zero. Therefore, I rejected these changes.

On the following pages I made some additional changes:
Page 1: I added the number of Justin Presseau’s institute behind his name: Justin Presseau
Page 9: I added a ; instead of a , in the second paragraph of the Procedure as you did in the first paragraph of the Procedure.
Page 11: I changed the sentence ‘On average, judges rated they had most expertise on the domains…’ into ‘On average, judges rated that they had most expertise on the domains…’

I hope this is all the information you need.

Yours sincerely,

Johanna M. Huijg