Reviewer’s report

Title: Barriers and facilitators to implement shared decision making in multidisciplinary sciatica care: a qualitative study

Version: 2 Date: 20 June 2013

Reviewer: Richard Thomson

Reviewer’s report:

The authors have responded thoroughly to the comments of both reviewers and the paper is much improved. We are happy with either the changes made or the authors’ justification for not making changes. Minor comments only remain.

Minor changes

Page 5, sentence “A systematic review outlines different studies towards barriers and facilitators in monodisciplinary care” is not clear; suggest rewording and suggest uni-disciplinary rather than mono-disciplinary. “.

Page 6, suggest changing sentence to improve readability “Within an interprofessional approach, efforts are made to integrate and translate themes and schemes shared by several professionals”.

Page 9, reword the following sentence please to improve readability “Data saturation was reached at the point that during the data gathering during three consecutive interviews emerged without new ideas.

Page 9 and 10, there is unnecessary duplication of how shared decision making was defined on page 8.

Page 28, suggest changing “A strength of this study is the use of purposive sampling to minimize selection bias” to “A strength of this study is the use of purposive sampling to capture a broad range of perspectives reflecting a diversity of views”

Suggest inter-professional rather than interprofessional throughout.

Richard Thomson/Kerry Joyce

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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