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June 13, 2013

Mr. Paul Wilson
Associate Editor, Implementation Science

Dear Mr. Paul Wilson,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our manuscript, titled *A randomized controlled dismantling trial of post-workshop consultation strategies to increase effectiveness and fidelity to an evidence-based psychotherapy for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder*. Your suggestions were helpful in improving the manuscript, and an overview of our changes in response to your comments appears below.

We look forward to hearing your response.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Marta Maslej

Department of Psychology, Ryerson University
115-105 Bond Street, Toronto, ON M5B 1Y3
E-mail: mmaslej@arts.ryerson.ca
Phone: 416-979-5000 ext. 2369

1. Please include the trial registration number at the end of the Abstract.

*We have included the Trial registration information at the end of the abstract. (pg. 3)*

2. Please state the design of the study in the protocol title.

*We have changed the title to reflect the study design. (pg. 1)*


*As per your suggestion, we have completed the CONSORT checklist and included it with our manuscript. We have indicated on the checklist the page numbers where each item is discussed. Because we are reporting on the study protocol of a project that is currently underway, we are unable to provide information for several items on the CONSORT checklist. We have indicated these items as “NA”. Specifically, we are unable to provide some recruitment information, such as losses and exclusions after randomization and specific dates of recruitment and follow-up. Because we have not yet carried out any*
analyses, we cannot report participant demographics or any details pertaining to study results. We also feel that we will be in a better position to discuss the generalizability of findings, as well as any limitations of our trial, when it is complete, and therefore did not include this information in the manuscript.

4. Finally, at 7,754 words, the length of your manuscript is currently well beyond the 6,000 limit we set for manuscripts. Please review your text and reduce the length of the paper.

We have reduced the length of our manuscript to adhere to the suggested word limit. The body of our manuscript is now 3745 words, and 6206 words including the abstract and references.