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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have done an impressive job addressing the previous comments and the manuscript reads very well.

Discretionary Revisions

1. The only outstanding issue that may benefit addressing (which I leave at the discretion of the authors to decide whether to incorporate) involves the operationalization of the domains highlighted in point 15 in the previous set of reviewer comments. I still worry about the implications to construct validity of dropping some key items assessing key constructs (e.g., intention, memory) in the interest of maximising the statistical robustness of the model. I agree with the point made that it can be a challenging to balance the two, and the authors have justified this in the response to reviewers. However, I feel that the readers may also benefit from a clearer understanding of the implications that operationalizing heterogeneous domains into a parsimonious questionnaire can have for selecting some measures and omitting others. Perhaps it would be worthwhile adding a sentence or two addressing this in the discussion.
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