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Comments on the response:

>>>Many thanks for the suggestions, which we feel improve the paper.

These changes are generally OK and just minor changes now should be made. One is on page 6, remove 'No managers were presented in the focus groups' and change the sentences to something like: The focus groups included physiotherapists of different seniority, educational qualifications and age. In order to encourage free responses, none of the managers of those participating in the groups were present.

>>>We have made this change!

Also on page 6 add this to the first sentence referring to sampling, 'which represented a broad spectrum of experiences and contexts to strengthen the validity of the study.' Therefore, remove this sentence 'The objective of this selection approach was to recruit physiotherapists that represented a broad spectrum of experiences and contexts to strengthen the validity of the study.'

>>>We have changed this.

Page 7: remove 'at the 11 units' in this sentence 'The focus group interviews were conducted during regular working hours to facilitate participation at the 11 units.'

>>>We have removed “at the 11 units”.

In terms of the results and quotations, it would be good if some details could be attached to each to indicate if these were all by one person or by different physiotherapists given the efforts made to ensure heterogeneity in the sample eg Physio 1, unit 1. I'm happy that this is recommended for approval once these changes are made.

>>>We have added information about who said what!