Author's response to reviews

**Title:** Cluster Randomized Adaptive Implementation Trial Comparing a Standard Versus Enhanced Implementation Intervention to Improve Uptake of an Effective Re-Engagement Program for Patients with Serious Mental Illness

**Authors:**

Amy M Kilbourne (amykilbo@umich.edu)
Kristen M Abraham (Kristen.Abraham2@va.gov)
David E Goodrich (David.Goodrich2@va.gov)
Nicholas W Bowersox (Nicholas.Bowersox@va.gov)
Danny Almirall (dalmiral@isr.umich.edu)
Zongshan Lai (Zongshan.Lai@va.gov)
Kristina M Nord (Kristina.Nord@va.gov)

**Version:** 2  **Date:** 19 July 2013

**Author's response to reviews:**

1. Please restructure the Abstract. The Abstract should be composed of the following four sections: Background, Method, Results and Conclusion and should be no longer than 350 words.

We have formatted the section headings.

2. Please include a Results section. Results of statistical analysis should include, where appropriate, relative and absolute risks or risk reductions, and confidence intervals. The results and discussion sections may also be broken into subsections with short, informative headings.

A Results section is not appropriate for this Methodology trial because data collection is ongoing. Consolidation of Results with the Discussion is advised in the Instructions to Authors and this approach is consistent with the recent publication by Simpson et al., Implementation Science 2013, 8:2.

3. Please include a Conclusions section as the last section of the text. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance. Summary illustrations may be included.

We had changed the abstract heading as advised in item 1 (A Conclusion Section was included in the initial submission.)