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Reviewer’s report:

Point #10- even with a near perfect response rate, why wouldn't social response bias be noted as a potential limitation given the nature of project and the questions being asked of the respondents? are their other data to corroborate the self-reported findings that would rule out possible social response bias?

Point #2- no need for a table given the changes to the MS
Point #3- no need to include the instrument given the explanation
Point #11- i agree to not creating the table

all the other points were adequately addressed.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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