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Reviewer’s report:

Most comments have been dealt with. Two minor things
1. There are no changes in abstract. It should at least say "the basic unit of knowledge translation should USUALLY be systematic reviews". But since abstracts are much more widely read than papers, the authors might consider whether other changes are worthwhile following the revisions.
2. Figure 1. Though quoted from elsewhere adding the horizontal line makes it more readable. The Figure legend should give the reference and say "modified from."
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