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January 6, 2012

Dear: Implementation Science Editorial Support Team

I have reviewed all copy-edits in detail and have approved most. There were just a couple of issues that arose. These are:

1) You requested that we include a results and conclusions section in the abstract. Given that this is a study protocol, we do not believe a results section to be appropriate. Instead, we have added a ‘significance’ section to the abstract, similar to a study protocol that was recently published in Implementation Science (see article doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-133).

2) This is not a registered trial and, therefore, we have not included a trial registration number.

We thank you for this careful and detailed review of our study protocol.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Fraser, RN, PhD
Faculty of Nursing
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada