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Reviewer's report:

Overall the paper works well - the extra detail enables the reader to make sense of the issues at hand.

Three very minor essential revisions:

Page 2: Abstract – I think that the following sentence is confusing.

‘Analyses focused on qualitative data as the main data source, and comprised of comparing all data (including questionnaires and nurses’ registration) across multiple case studies to draw conclusions from the study as a whole.’

So, it can be read in a variety of ways. Is it that qualitative data was the main focus of the analysis and that findings from this was supported by further quantitative? Keep it simple maybe two separate sentences, so you can divide phases or ideas.

Page 11: The beginning of this sentence is odd. ‘At last we listed explanations with respect to determinants …’ . I think you mean, ‘Finally we listed …’ or ‘We then listed …. ’ or even, ‘Lastly we listed …’

Page 21: It currently reads ‘On the one hand the framework is gives structure’, it should read ‘On the one hand the framework gives structure’

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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