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Dear Professor Anne Rogers

MS: 1927898879403904

Using Normalisation Process Theory to Design Translational Research: A review of a speech and language therapy intervention Deborah M James

I am pleased to resubmit my article to Implementation Science following your review last year. I apologise for the lateness of my response and thank you for your patience.

The substantive points of both reviewers focused on the need for more detail on the methods of the review. I have provided more detail on this in the methods section focusing on:

- The data used from the primary research
- The way quotes and original thematic analyses were combined to form the data for this review
- More detail on the way in which May and Finch provided checking of the data extracted from the primary research into the NPM
- The reflexive use of academic speech and language therapists prior to the preparation of the manuscript

The changes have been highlighted in the resubmitted manuscript.

I believe the revision makes the study easier to follow because it gives a more detailed account of the approach that I took to synthesise the data and apply the Normalisation Process Model to the data in order to uncover the social processes that appear important in the embedding of this complex intervention. Having made the methods clearer, the issues of clarity raised by Geoff Lindsay on the results section have now also been made clearer I think.

I have also included reference to Geoff Lindsay’s research following the Bercow review and am grateful for his recommendation to that article.

I look forward to hearing back from you.

Deborah James, Associate Professor – lead scientist child and family