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October 10th 2011

Dear Editor

RE MS: 9913010853482741: The e-Health Implementation Toolkit: Qualitative evaluation across four European countries

Thank you for your e-mail of 30.09.2011 and for the copy-edited version of our manuscript.

On behalf of my co-authors, I have checked this version carefully and accepted all changes except for some changes to the quotes presented in the Results section. These are not anecdotal quotes but represent empirical data from our qualitative fieldwork. It is good practice in qualitative research of this kind not to ‘correct’ participants’ speech. For this reason on:

• Page 14 ‘kinda’ remains
• Page 16 ‘gonna’ remains
• Pages 16, 17 and 19 the idiom ‘em’ remains. I have added a series of dots to indicate that this is an interjection in participants’ speech.

I have responded to all the questions and have added:

• Details of the authors’ institutional affiliations on the cover page
• A legend for Figure 1 at the end of the References.
Finally, I noticed that part of the quote on page 15 was a reference to the quality of the digital recording rather than part of the actual sentence so I have deleted the words 'very (unclear)' from this quote:

'It did make me look at it logically. It went through the contexts and resources and also the staff that would be using it because ... very (unclear) there's two or three of you that are enthusiastic about something etc.

And replaced it with the following:

'It did make me look at it logically. It went through the contexts and resources and also the staff that would be using it because ... there's two or three of you that are enthusiastic about something etc.

If you have any further questions or queries, please let me know.

Yours sincerely,

Anne MacFarlane, PhD
Lecturer in Primary Care
Discipline of General Practice
NUI Galway