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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

I appreciate the opportunity to once more review your manuscript, which you have carefully revised. Major improvements are made when it comes to describing the theoretical underpinnings of the study, the design, the data analysis, and your findings, in addition to your reflections. Thus, the paper is straightforward with possibilities for the reader to understand and learn from your study.

I suggest there are a few Minor Essential Revisions further to be made:

1. Section Methods, page 7, you provide the number of participants, in accordance with suggestions from me and reviewer 3. However, revise as suggested, i.e. provide "n" for sample, rather than "N" (suggested as your population, described on page 12, section Results).

2. Section Results, page 12, line 15: exclude your reflection regarding what is interesting, which is rather for the Discussion section.

3. Section Discussion, Limitations and lessons learned, page 29, line 10: Provide examples of the literature you suggest is most important when it comes to consistency with your findings.

Sincerely,

Dr. A.C. Eldh

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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