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**Reviewer's report:**

This is a well researched, well thought out clinical trial, and it is a pleasure to see one based on so much theory and previous research. The one place where I would consider a revision is in the timeframe for feedback. Unless there is a clinical reason for waiting 6 months between feedback reports, I would very much consider shortening that timescale to more than 3 months (monthly would be ideal if its possible)

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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