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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Professor Foy

Please find attached a revised version of our manuscript (MS: 1044860809341656). We are indebted to the reviewers and editors for their constructive comments and suggestions which have enabled us to greatly enhance the clarity and reproducibility of this review. With regard to the reviewer and editorial comments we have highlighted all substantive changes made to the manuscript in yellow. Specifically we have:

•Reworded the title to make it clear that we are looking at the context of research dissemination.
•Defined and been more consistent in our use of the terms dissemination and knowledge translation.
•Provided a clearer set of aims for the review
•Updated the search to June 2010
•Provided details of the search strategies for individual databases in Appendix 1.
•Clarified our inclusion criteria and provided details of excluded papers in Appendix 2.
•Clarified how judgements on ‘implicit’ use of theory were made
•Restructured and provided additional data on aims and cross referencing in the tables
•Provided a clearer and more reproducible synthesis of the included studies
•Toned down the UK element of the work and placed the findings in a more generalisable context.
•Corrected any typographical errors
•Provided details of potential conflicts of interest

We again would like to thank both reviewers and the editors for their helpful
insights and look forward to your further consideration of our revised paper.

With best wishes

Paul Wilson