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Dear Sir!

I have carefully read the revised manuscript and accepted all changes. However, I'm not sure what the comment A1: “Either reference or delete” refers to. The framework was modified in the present manuscript. Perhaps it should be written “Carroll et al's framework was modified and used as a conceptual model for the evaluation.”? Thus, there is no reference for the modified version. For the other comments regarding a reference or delete in the abstract - I have added the reference as in the main manuscript text.

Another comment: Vårdal Institute should be written with å if that is not possible it should be a.

I have also change the name of the intervention Integrated health care chain for frail elderly people to Continuum of care for frail elderly persons, from the emergency ward to living at home. That is the name that has been registered to ClinicalTrials. However, we have not yet receveid a trial number therefore this is not reported in the abstract.

Regarding the comment “This MS Word file has some serious gremlins in its coding such that it appears to be formatted one way in Draft view, but has a completely different look in Print Layout. At p.10 it spontaneously changes from Print layout to Draft. Also, text disappears or appears depending on where you click.” Unfortunately I don’t have knowledge of how to solve this. I see that some text are repeated in the manuscript and I’m not sure if I should omit that or not. For instance, abstract discussion section sometimes is shown doubled. Do you think the production unit can solve this? I’m very sorry for the inconvenience.

On p. 10 the name should be Anna-Karin Edberg not Anna- K arin Edberg.

Best regards

Henna Hasson