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**Reviewer's report:**

**TITLE:** The relationship between baseline organizational readiness to change assessment subscale scores and implementation of hepatitis prevention services in substance use disorders treatment clinics

**MINOR ESSENTIAL REVISIONS**

**BACKGROUND:**

The authors did add some brief discussion of organizational change, as suggested. However, this section remains a bit disjointed where the contribution to the study of implementation remains unclear. This section can be more organized and concise. There are too many different sections in “Background” that made the flow awkward.

It is suggested that the authors move the “ORCA” and “Overview of the Implementation Project” sections to “Methods” section. Some part of the ORCA discussion should be incorporated into the ORCA description under “Measures (p.11).” The description of the Liver Health Initiative can be further condensed and moved to the “Assessment of Baseline Implementation and Implementation Outcomes (p.12).”

**METHODS:**

Some clarifications are needed for the “complexity” measure:

1. Complexity is an important concept describing the structure of an organization. How did the authors define or have adapted this measure that would make this measure consistent with what has been defined in literature? It seems that it would be appropriate to provide a citation.

2. Why were there sub-levels in the facility complexity score-? Would it make more sense to have simply 5 levels of complexity instead of 3 and then splitting 1 into A, B, and C? Do all the variables contribute to the calculation of complexity equally? More information is needed for the categorization of complexity.
RESULTS:
The presentation of the results is clear.

DISCUSSION:
The authors have adequately responded to the concerns from the previous review.
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