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January 25, 2010

Brian Mittman, Editor-in-Chief
Implementation Science
BioMed Central Ltd
Middlesex House
34-42 Cleveland Street
London W1T 4LB, UK

Dear Dr. Mittman:

Please find a clean version of the manuscript, “Part II, provider perspectives: Should patients be activated to request evidence-based medicine? A qualitative study of the VA project to implement diuretics (VAPID)” (MS: 1362923924720769). We have accepted the majority of the copy edits. However, we rejected or changed two items as they were edited:

1) We changed the “emphasis added” (p. 15) to italics. It was underlined which does not appear to be an acceptable format for the IS; however, we are hoping italics is ok. If it not, please remove italics and the “(emphasis added)” note for that quote.

2) We prefer not to edit quotes for grammar. We rejected the change of deleting “such” from the quote. However, we also do not want to appear disparaging to the participants in anyway. Please make whichever edit you see fit.

We appreciate the opportunity to publish in Implementation Science. Please let us know if you need anything else or have questions.

Sincerely,

Heather Schacht Reisinger, PhD
Investigator, CRIISP, Iowa City VAMC
heather.reisinger@va.gov

Peter J. Kaboli, MD, MS
Associate Professor, Iowa City VAMC and University of Iowa College of Medicine
peter.kaboli@va.gov