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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for sending me author responses and the revised manuscript. I have nothing substantial to add to my review. The authors has acknowledged my original comments and reflected them in the revised version, although they do not have the resources to actually address the limitations.

It is a judgement call whether you want to publish a paper that is largely of historical interest. As an online publication, I guess you are not fussed about space, but I am just not convinced that this of interest even to the guideline research fraternity/sorority.

Gene

p.s. I am compelled to complete the next sections of this form but would have preferred not to...as my recommendation about acceptance/rejection is irrelevant!

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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