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Dear Editorial team,

Thank you for your interest in our paper. We are pleased to resubmit this revised version for formatting. We appreciate the editor’s feedback to our last correspondence and have included revision notes below.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kryworuchko (jkryw032@uottawa.ca)
Dawn Stacey (dstacey@uottawa.ca)
Nan Bai (Nan.Bai@cma.ca)
Ian D Graham (Ian.Graham@cihr-irsc.gc.ca)

----------------------------------

Revision Notes
The reviewer’s comments are included to preserve the context of our responses.
Our responses to the reviewers are in italics.

Editor
☑ Overall, I am happy with the revision. Regarding the response rate, my proposal is that you stick to the current presentation (your option 1), but add information so that readers can calculate your option 2. Please do not present a second response rate figure, as this will give confusion, but just give the necessary information: the total number of CPGs for both periods (1446 and 895).
We have made the revision as requested (see page 8) and will upload this revised version for formatting.