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Author's response to reviews:

Maastricht, February 18, 2009

Dear Martin Eccles,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a second revision of the manuscript entitled: “Investigating the complementary value of discrete choice experimentation for the evaluation of barriers and facilitators in implementation research: a questionnaire survey” (MS: 2886129091844234).

I rejected only one of your proposed changes in the Methods section, subheading Discrete choice experiment (page 7):

“DCE is a stated preference method to measure preferences for products and services. It is based on the assumption that in general decisions are not based on a single criterion, but on several factors considered jointly [10].”

By “in general” we mean ‘usually’; we do not mean to say ‘general decisions’

In expectation of your reply,

Sincerely yours,

Debby van Helvoort-Postulart (on behalf of all co-authors)

Address for correspondence:
Mrs. D. van Helvoort-Postulart, PhD
University Hospital Maastricht