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**Reviewer's report:**

*General*

The authors have answered my concerns adequately. However, since the authors state that this was an evaluation of the implementation of the clinical reminders I think they should have focused more on the survey given to providers and their impression of the reminders. I am not sure that the rate of ordering cholesterol tests and lipid lowering medications can or should be emphasized in this study since the study was not designed to evaluate this. Therefore I am not sure that this paper will have a wide audience.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)**

none

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)**

none

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)**

none

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.