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Reviewer's report:

General

This is a well-written article of interest to people doing and reading implementation research.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Re paragraph 1 sentence 3: "However, clinical practice guidelines have little or no impact on actual clinical practice unless they are successfully integrated into the clinical setting". I am not quite sure precisely what is meant by this statement, or if this is a fair summary of the effectiveness of guidelines implementation strategies, based on Grimshaw et al.'s review, and I think reference 2 is not the most relevant one. This sentence should be revised.

Re table 1 and 2 - It would make the table easier to read if the categories were described.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.