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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have satisfactorily addressed the majority of my previous editorial comments.

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. None.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. None.

Discretionary Revisions

1. I might suggest revising the layout of the tables to improve readability. For example, it is difficult to understand which variables are being compared in Table 6 without reading the accompanying text.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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