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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. Authors contradicted the results obtained by stating that” polyether presented the greatest linear changes of all materials tested” in page 11, first paragraph, line 3.
2. Standard deviation were written as mean percentage of dimensional change in page 11, 2nd paragraph,
3. Authors did not follow the journal guidelines for references
4. Figure legends were not mentioned

Minor essential Revisions
1. Tukey’s honestly significant difference test or Tukey’s honest significant test is wrongly written as Tukey’s honestly significantly different tests both in title page and in main article.
2. Manufacturer’s names should not be mentioned in key words
3. Mann Whitney test is actually Mann Whitney U test and this test was not mentioned in the main article.
3. Reference for the stainless steel mold was not mentioned.
4. Page number 10, last paragraph, specimen having 0.3 mm thickness were considered…. Please check the specimen size.
5. Page 13, line 12, why 29-34 reference numbers where quoted?
6. Remove the full stop after reference in page 13, line 16
7. Coltene/Whaledent was mentioned as colitine waldent in page 7,6th line.

Discretionary Revisions
1. Photograph of the mold used in the study
2. Photographs of the specimens for the three materials used in the study

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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