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Dear Dr. Marbacher

Thank you very much for your useful recommendations. We did our best to improve our article regarding your notifications.

1. We made a mistake for determining type of the study. It was a prospective cohort study which was corrected in the text. The time of starting the study is added and as it is explained in the “follow up” section, the patients were followed for 1 to 3 years.

2. We did not understand your exact mean (charts?) but we think that the parameter of the study is clarified by adding these 2 added parts: Follow up, Patients in methods.

3. We added this sentence. Yes, by enrolling the proposal of this project, of course, a number is dedicated to this study in the ethical committee.

4. They are completely explained in the part “follow up” and also in the last part of the result which were added regarding your suggestions.

5. Regarding your notification, the language was revised by one of the authors who studied and lived in America for years: Dr Farzad Izadi. We wish there were no more problems.

Best kind Regards

The authors

Dear Dr. Agah,

Thank you very much for your nice suggestions.

1. This part was added to the manuscript as you recommended

2. The limitation is declared in the last part of the discussion.

3. We did our best to edit it.

Kind regards

Dear Dr. Fereshtehnejad

Thank you very much for your useful recommendations, we did our best to provide your answers if they were efficient.

1. We tried to declare the aim precisely.

2. You are completely right. Regarding your notification, it was changed.

3. The part “follow up” was added to the article. We wish it provides your expectations.

4. It was provide in the first lines of the result.
5. It is explained in the part “follow up” in details.

6. It is added to the last part of the result.

7. It is added.

8. It is provided in the last 3 paragraph of the discussion.

9. It is added in the last paragraph of the discussion.

10. We revised the conclusion.

Best Regards