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Reviewer's report:

Dear Sir,
Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper.
My overall comment for the manuscript is the following:
ACCEPT

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?
ok
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?
ok
3. Are the data sound and well controlled?
Clinical data seem to be sound
Authors revised the text of the Results section appropriately
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Presentation of data is satisfactory
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
Section is satisfactory
6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Satisfactory
7. Is the writing acceptable?
Acceptable

Specific Comments:
Authors revised the text appropriately
Thank you very much for your attention.
Best regards
Prof. Ranieri Martuscelli, MD DDS
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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