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Reviewer's report:

General
The paper is interesting and adds valuable experience to the field of running RCTs

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
In Sources if funding AHM has turned to be AHS.
Ref 1 has been published, it would be useful to give full reference

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
The authors said they have replaced patient with woman or participant throughout the text. They have not, but it is their decision. Anyway, I find it annoying when participants are supposed to healthy postmenopausal women. I think menopause do not make anybody patient.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.