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Reviewer’s report:

General

This paper addresses an important and often underestimated issue in health research which is the difficulty of designing a proper study design, but more importantly the implementation of the study by well trained gender-matched investigators.

The paper is well-written and provides a clear description of methods used, constraints and determinants of success. Especially the follow-up and positive response of the participants who seem to be well informed and aware of a the fact that they will not get the results of the test as one would expect participating in a trial. It is also important to raise and explain these issues very well as some women participating in the trial might develop a PID which might have been avoided in case the results were out at an earlier stage.

Conclusion: I recommend publication

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.