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Reviewer's report:

General

This is an interesting paper with a focus on an often neglected problem of the recruitment of participants for trials. The performance of the recruitment is well done en described in detail. Attention is made for non-responders and how the given information is interpreted by the participants.

The conclusions are correct and within the frame of the observational study.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

No such revisions required.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Explain in the methods sections the ethical points of this trial and the conclusions of the ethical committee. Especially concerning delayed testing for chlamydia.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

No such revisions required.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.