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**Reviewer's report:**

**General**

This is a well-written paper describing in detail the recruitment procedures of the POPI trial. It does not provide much new scientific information, but it is useful for those preparing similar trials. There are interesting lessons to be learned.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)**

The secondary aim to describe the characteristics of women who were not recruited is not achieved. It is a small group and there is no way to know how representative they are of all non-responders. It may be true that more are from minority groups, but this is not established firmly through the study. This limitation should be mentioned in the discussion, together with the limitation already mentioned that the group is rather small.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)**

none

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)**

none

**What next?:** Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.