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Reviewer's report:

General
Why OMERACT was considered necessary besides ACR and EULAR Subcommittees on outcome measures of RA.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
What are the similarities and differences of OMERACT versus outcome measures from ACR and EULAR in RCTs and observational studies of RA. As a later established international organization differences and similarities of outcome measurements with ACR and EULAR should be mentioned.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable