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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have answered quite well all the suggestion made. I have still some small comments. Comments are expressed in order they turn up in the manuscript:

1) Page 13, last paragraph: The clause added is more discussion or interpretation than proper result.

2) Page 14: Interpretations of directions are still missing. It would be useful to read clearly after significance what the result in question means (e.g. Participant who were.....were most...).

3) Page 15, added text ‘The results of the present study...’: References are missing.
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