Reviewer’s report

Title: Meta-analysis of randomised Phase II trials to inform subsequent Phase III decisions

Version: 2 Date: 15 July 2014

Reviewer: David Jones

Reviewer’s report:

The authors have responded well to the various comments, but I suggest the following:

Discretionary Revisions

1) The brief review of other examples of meta-analyses added to the Discussion under the subheading 'Relevance of other...' would be better placed earlier (to set out the context of the current paper), somewhere in section 1 or 2.1

2) The Discussion could include mention of other related approaches (including that of Assifi et al?!) 
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