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Reviewer's report:

The authors have sufficiently answered my questions in the body of the cover letter (their response), but have not sufficiently revised the protocol.

Minor Essential Revisions:
1. Although the authors have enhanced the sample size component of the paper by adding some details regarding the primary outcome (which was a necessary improvement), I believe details regarding the primary outcome should be added to the "Primary Outcome" subsection of the "Outcomes" section. Please include details about the scale that you mentioned in your response to my original review: "The scale has 9 Likert items (from 0-10) and the range of the total scale is between 0 and 90 points" (rephrase as necessary). This will enable the reader to interpret what the is meant by a "small intervention effect" of 6 points by the time they reach the "Sample size" section.

Discretionary Revisions:
1. Formatting suggestion: Both "Primary Outcome" and "Secondary Outcomes" should be subsections of the "Outcome" section. Currently, it is formatted such that "Outcomes" and "Secondary Outcomes" are two separate sections.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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