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Dear Editor,

RE: 9485574421039154

WELLFOCUS PPT – modified Positive Psychotherapy to improve well-being in psychosis: study protocol for pilot randomised controlled trial

Thank you for inviting another revision to our manuscript. Please find our point-by-point responses to the reviewer’s concerns below. All new changes made when revising the manuscript are highlighted using green text colour – with the previous adaptations still being indicated in red text colour.

Reviewer’s report:

You claim that all the suggested revisions were conducted as suggested in the last review; however, many of the same issues were not checked in the revised manuscript.

I apologise for having missed some issues. I assume that what I have missed is listed below. I hope I have addressed all of the points this time.

Here are some further suggestions for improvement.

1. P(age) 2, p(aragraph) 2, l(line) 6. Delete [(target n = 8)].
   [(target n = 8)] was deleted from the abstract.

2. P 13, I(tem) 2, l(ine) 7. Replace [range] by [vary].
   [range] was replaced by [vary].
   Also P 14, p 1, l 2 and I 4, l 6.
   Both remaining occurrences of [range] were replaced by [vary] on page 14.
   Also P 15, I 7, l 4.
   [range] was replaced by [vary].
   Also P 16, p 1, l 5.
   [range] was replaced by [vary].

   [an] was replaced by [and].

4. P 16, last l. Replace [parameters] by [variables].
   [parameters] was replaced by [variables].

5. P 19, p 2, l 14 and 15. Rewrite as [...] with block for randomisation.]. See P 20, p 4, l 3 and 4 where you state you will NOT reveal the block sizes.
   I rewrote this but am still not sure if I got it right. Just to clarify what we actually do:
   our block sizes are 2 or 4 and they are not revealed.

6. P 22, p 2, l 2. Replace second [is] by [are].
   [is] was replaced by [are].

7. P 29, R(eference) 17, l 1. Rewrite as [Rashid TAA:].
   Reference 17 was rewritten as [Rashid TAA].
   [(Spanish)] was moved.

9. P 31, R 37, I 2. Delete one [.].
   One [.] was removed.

We hope that our manuscript is now suitable for publication in *Trials*.

With best regards,
Beate Schrank