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**Author's response to reviews:**

Change made under "outcome measures" to clarify use of audit data:

The primary outcome data was assessed via an audit of the Dementia Indicators of the Quality Outcome Framework (see Table 1). (Individual patient consent was sought for a more detailed examination of the secondary outcome measures, elsewhere reported, see Figure 1). Clinicians independent of the practices classified management reviews for dementia in one of two ways; as planned, coded Dementia Annual Reviews eligible for inclusion in the Quality Outcomes Framework, and as opportunistic Dementia Reviews, which were documented reviews of any aspect of dementia during clinical encounters prompted by other problems. These clinical reviewers were trained in data extraction using a standardised data extraction form developed for the trial, and uncertainties about classification were discussed with the principal investigator.