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Acupuncture as prophylaxis for menstrually related migraine: study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial

Dear editor,

Thank you for your time and efforts. According to the reviewers’ comments for the last vision of manuscript, we have finished revisions. The main amendments have been highlighted in red in the revised manuscript. We hope that the revised version is acceptable for publication in your journal. For details of the revisions please see the Response to Editors and Reviews attached.

Thank you again for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

Lin-Peng Wang

Acupuncture and Moxibustion Department, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine affiliated to Capital Medical University.

E-mail: wlp5558@sina.com
Response to Reviewer

Title: Acupuncture as prophylaxis for menstrually related migraine: study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial

Version: 3 Date: 19 September 2013

Reviewer: Shefton Parker

Reviewer's report:

No major compulsory revisions.

No minor essential revisions.

Discretionary revisions - Language is acceptable and has improved significantly from the initial submission, there is still room for improvement (although there always is to some degree) and I would suggest having someone with strong written language skills proof read for grammar.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests

Author response:

Thanks for your very careful review of our paper. According to your comments, the language in this trial have been carefully checked and improved in the revised
manuscript.

Thank you again for all the valuable comments and all the time you spent for this.